# Mixed Integer Programming for Modelling Fairness Constraints

Elisabeta Iulia Dima, Amaya Nogales Gómez Laboratoire I3S, UMR 7271 Université Côte d'Azur, France

### Introduction

- Morality of Machine Learning models in **real** datasets.
- Support Vector Machine (SVM) and Quadratic Programs (QP).
- Mixed Integer Programming (MIP) is NP-complete.
- Equal Opportunity constraints turn QP into MIP problem with quadratic constraints (QCQP).

### Methods

### The MIP optimization problem

### Fairness

Here, the selected branch of Fairness is **Equal Opportunity**, i.e. The probability of getting a positive outcome  $\hat{y}_i$  is independent of protected class label  $g_i$  and conditional on the true label  $y_i$  being positive, for a relatively small deviation  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

 $\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{P}(\hat{y}_i = 1 | g_i = 0, y_i = 1) - \\ \mathbb{P}(\hat{y}_i = 1 | g_i = 1, y_i = 1)| \le \Delta, \\ \forall i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$ 

### \_\_\_\_\_

- Extraction label  $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$
- True label  $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$
- Protected class label  $g_i \in \{0, 1\}$  (i.e., age, gender, race)
- Number of unprotected and protected individuals #N resp., #P
- Indicator function  $\mathbf{1}(u)$
- Sign function sign(x)

$$\min_{w,b,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\zeta},\boldsymbol{z}} \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + (1-\beta) \left( \frac{w^T w}{2} + \frac{C}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_i \right)$$

subject to

$$\frac{1}{\#P} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{P}} (1 - \alpha_i) \mathbf{1}(sign(w^T x_i + b) = +1) - \frac{1}{\#N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - \alpha_i) \mathbf{1}(sign(w^T x_i + b) = +1) | \leq \Delta$$

$$y_i(w^T x_i + b) \ge 1 - \zeta_i \qquad \forall i = \overline{1, n}$$
$$\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\} \qquad \forall i = \overline{1, n}$$
$$w \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

 $b \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} g_i, \hat{y}_i, y_i \in \{0, 1\} \\ \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^+ \end{array}$$

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

 $\forall i = \overline{1, n}$ 

## Conclusions

• We propose a novel QCQP formulation to build an SVM-type classifier including fairness constraints.

• Our results show an improvement in fairness without important loss in accuracy.

• The trade-off detween time and training sample size is due to the constructed quadratic matrix. The trade between Accuracy and Equal Opportunity is eventually gentle.

· Generally, minimising extraction determines that no individual is extracted from the dataset, instead, hyperplane is skewed.

• QCQP problem can also be used to achive Equal Treatement.



### Implementation and Results



	QCQP		Original SVM	
Training size	Accuracy	Equal Opportunity	Accuracy	Equal Opportun
100	70.51	100.52	69.87	98.45
500	72.24	98.25	73.12	94.66

Table 1. German dataset.

	Q	CQP	Original SVM	
Training size	Accuracy	Equal Opportunity	Accuracy	Equal Opportuni
100	60.82	99.28	60.55	91.39
500	64.57	79.69	64.74	79.81

Table 2. COMPAS dataset.

Tables 1 and 2: QCQP-SVM metrics(left) vs. original SVM(right)  $\beta = 0.5$ , time limit 10m. For size 100:  $\Delta = 0.001$ , for size 500:  $\Delta = 0.05$ .



### References

- [1] M. Olfat and A. Aswani. Spectral algorithms for computing fair support vector machines. PMLR, 2018.
- [2] IBM ILOG Cplex. International Business Machines Corporation, 46(53):157, 2009.



### This work has been supported by the French government, through the UCA DS4H Investments in the Future project managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) with the reference number ANR-17-EURE-0004.